



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/787,692	10/15/85	NILSSSEN	

OLE K. NILSSSEN
CAESAR DRIVE, RR-5
BARRINGTON, IL 60010

EXAMINER	
BEHA JRYW	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Z12	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/87

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 7/7/87 This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6. Examiner Interview Summary Sheet

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 139 - 144 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. Claims 139 - 142 are allowed.
4. Claims 143 + 144 are rejected.
5. Claims _____ are objected to.
6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation).
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received
 been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. Other

Art Unit 212

The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 143 and 144 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Walker in view of Pintell, or alternatively, Pintell in view of Walker.

Reference is made to the last Office Action, (Page No. 530) pages 3-5, for a comprehensive exposition of the examiner's rationale.

Applicant's arguments filed July 4, 1987 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

As to page 2, paragraph a), it is suggested that Pintell, col. 5, lines 15-20 meet the limitation of the claims, since the claims call for the inverter to self-oscillate at a frequency "equal to...the natural resonant frequency of the Serial L-C circuit." This is what lines 15-20 mean. Thus the result being the same, it follows that the "co-determination" limitation is the same.

As to paragraphs b and c it suffices to say that the desired load determines the connection in series with the LC circuit or in parallel with a reactive component thereof. Where, as in applicant's case, a lamp load is desired, Walker suggests placing it across the resonant capacitor. The motivation for doing so comes from Walker and is sufficient.

Claims 139-142 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit 212

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Beha/ds

703/557-5080

7/25/87

William H. Beha

WILLIAM H. BEHA, JR.
SENIOR EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 212